



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/577,823

04/28/2006

Michael W. Trainum

1041006

2840

Michael Haynes  
1341 Huntersfield Close  
Keswick, VA 22947

7590

07/03/2008

EXAMINER

HOANG, SON T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2165

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/03/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Advisory Action</b> <b>Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/577,823	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TRAINUM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SON T. HOANG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2165	

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
 b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

#### AMENDMENTS

3. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  
 (a) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  
 (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  
 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.  
 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  
 7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☒ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  
 The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  
 Claim(s) allowed: None.  
 Claim(s) objected to: None.  
 Claim(s) rejected: 1-45.  
 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.

#### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  
 9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

#### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  
See Continuation Sheet.  
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.  
 13. ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

/Son T Hoang/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2165

/Sathyanarayan Pannala/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1, 23, 36-37 have been amended with new limitations. Claims 46-48 have been added. These claims require further search and examination.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Claims 1, 23, 36-37 have been amended with new limitations. Claims 46-48 have been added. These claims require further search and examination. Hence, the amendment will not be entered. Claims 1-45's rejections are maintained as presented in the Final Office action sent out on April 22, 2008. A citation of the Final Office action is as followed:

Regarding independent claim 1, Schneid clearly shows and discloses a system (Figure 2), comprising:

a database storing a plurality of objects ([0030], and Figure 4); and

a computer-based document management module ([0033]) adapted to:

create a source document comprising the plurality of objects (Figure 9 shows the conversion process of a native file to the Intermediate Live file format, [0039]. It is clearly shown that the intermediate live file is the source document, i.e. template); and automatically and individually control a content, a plurality of content attributes (Document and data list linking logic 600 utilized Data Lists object 414, privileges object 415, and documents object 420 to allow localizations of various versions of the document, [0035]), a usage permission (a printer may be allowed read-only access, [0041]), and a distribution permission of each of a plurality of objects in each of multiple documents (access privileges can be determined that each user may assert over each element of a brochure, [0041]. When only those with authorized privileges can access each of the derived elements, the distribution is clearly for non-public users) derived from the source document (It is shown clearly that localized versions of a document are derived from an original/source version of the document, i.e. the intermediate live file or template, [0035]).

Regarding claim 23, Schneid clearly shows and discloses a method (Figure 3), comprising a plurality of activities comprising:

via a computer-based document management module ([0033]):

creating a source document comprising a plurality of objects, each of said plurality of objects stored in a database (Figure 9 shows the conversion process of a native file to the Intermediate Live file format. The conversion processor scans the native file to detect each object thereof and for each object, a content object is parsed and stored including a value of the type of content therein, [0039]. It is clearly shown that the intermediate live file is the source document, i.e. template); and automatically and individually controlling a content, a plurality of content attributes (Document and data list linking logic 600 utilized Data Lists object 414, privileges object 415, and documents object 420 to allow localizations of various versions of the document, [0035]), a usage permission (a printer may be allowed read-only access, [0041]), and a distribution permission of each of a plurality of objects in each of multiple documents (access privileges can be determined that each user may assert over each element of a brochure, [0041]. When only those with authorized privileges can access each of the derived elements, the distribution is clearly for non-public users) derived from the source document (It is shown clearly that localized versions of a document are derived from an original/source version of the document, i.e. the intermediate live file or template, [0035]).

Regarding independent claim 36, Schneid clearly shows and discloses a machine readable medium storing instructions executable by an information device, for activities ([Column 4, Claim 16]) comprising:

creating a source document comprising a plurality of objects, each of said plurality of objects stored in a database (Figure 9 shows the conversion process of a native file to the Intermediate Live file format. The conversion processor scans the native file to detect each object thereof and for each object, a content object is parsed and stored including a value of the type of content therein, [0039]. It is clearly shown that the intermediate live file is the source document, i.e. template); and automatically and individually controlling a content, a plurality of content attributes (Document and data list linking logic 600 utilized Data Lists object 414, privileges object 415, and documents object 420 to allow localizations of various versions of the document, [0035]), a usage permission (a printer may be allowed read-only access, [0041]), and a distribution permission of each of a plurality of objects in each of multiple documents (access privileges can be determined that each user may assert over each element of a brochure, [0041]. When only those with authorized privileges can access each of the derived elements, the distribution is clearly for non-public users) derived from the source document (It is shown clearly that localized versions of a document are derived from an original/source version of the document, i.e. the intermediate live file or template, [0035]).